UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/622,146  | 07/16/2003  | Tom McCarthy         | 03-637              | 1889             |
| 20306 7590 01/07/2011<br>MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP<br>300 S. WACKER DRIVE<br>32ND FLOOR<br>CHICAGO, IL 60606 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | SHERR, CRISTINA O   |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3685                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 01/07/2011          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| <b>Notice of Panel Decision</b> |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief           |
| Review                          |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under<br>Reexamination |
|-------------------------|--|
| 10/622,146              | MCCARTHY ET AL. Art Unit                   |
| CALVIN L. HEWITT II     | 3685                                       |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 2  | 2 November 2010.  |
|---|---|
| <ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper and a confe reason(s):</li> </ol>   | rence will not be held for the following  |
| <ul> <li>☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the last of the request does not include reasons why a review is ap last of the la</li></ul> | propriate.  |
| The time period for filing a response continues to run from the rec<br>the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of App  |   |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences held. The application remains under appeal because there is at less required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 4 brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is great appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the most of the notice of appeal, as applicable.  | east one actual issue for appeal. Applicant<br>41.37. The time period for filing an appeal<br>ne balance of the two-month time period<br>ater. Further, the time period for filing of the |
| The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13 and 27-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | s follows:  |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains close applicant at this time.  |   |
| 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The raction will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at   |   |
| All participants:   |   |
| (1) /CALVIN L. HEWITT II/. (3) Andre  | w J. Fischer /A.J.F./.  |
| (2) /Cristina Owen Sherr/. (4)  | :   |